

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/718,978	78 11/20/2003		Michael D. Hutton	015114-068600US 2723		
26059	7590	09/09/2005		EXAMINER		
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW LLP/ 015114				WHITMOR	WHITMORE, STACY	
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER 8TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834				2825		

DATE MAILED: 09/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/718,978	HUTTON, MICHAEL D.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stacy A. Whitmore	2825	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply		correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 No. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under Example 2.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims		•	
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2-17 and 19-31 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 20 November 2003 is/a	wn from consideration. r election requirement. er. ure: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objec		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/25/2005.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		

Art Unit: 2825

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cormen, "Introduction to algorithms" in view of Blaauw, David et al., "Removing user-specified paths from timing graphs".
- 2. As for claims 1, and 18, Cormen discloses the invention substantially as claimed, including a method/computer system for generating code for Generating edge masks to annotate edges in a graph that represents at least a portion of the user design, the edge masks indicating whether a source point and a destination point are reachable from a corresponding one of the edges [pg. 477, section 23.3]; Performing at least one depth first search along a time critical path in the graph between the source point and the destination point [pg. 477, section 23.3].

Cormen does not specifically disclose the at least one depth first search being prevented by the edge masks from analyzing paths that do not connect the source and the destination points.

Application/Control Number: 10/718,978

Art Unit: 2825

Blaauw discloses preventing the analyzing of paths that do not connect the source and the destination points [pg. 270-271, Introduction, and Section 2].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Cormen and Blaauw because adding Blaauw's prevention of analyzing paths the do not connect the source and the destination points would have improved Cormen's algorithm by isolating and removing certain edges from the graph without removing true paths, therefore optimizing the timing analyzing process in design [see Blaauw, pg. 270, right hand side].

- 3. Claims 2-17, and 19-31 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of record fails to disclose at least the step of calculating slack and slack ration values for edges in the time critical paths; and if delay along any of the time critical paths exceeds the user timing constraint, modifying placement of the user design within the programmable integrated circuit using the slack and the slack ratio value.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stacy A. Whitmore whose telephone number is (571) 272-1685. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, alternate Friday 6:30am 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1907. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/718,978

Art Unit: 2825

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stacy A Whitmore

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2825

SAW

September 6, 2005